

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/662,409	09/14/2000	JEFFREY A. WOLK	100/06410	1271
21569	7590 11/13/2003		EXAMINER	
CALIPER TECHNOLOGIES CORP			STARSIAK, JOHN S	
605 FAIRCHILD DRIVE MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94043			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1753	1
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)

	<u> </u>	Jeffrey A. Walk	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
	J.STARSI A	K 1753	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	n th cover sheet ber	eath the correspondence address	_
riod for Reply	_		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING I	DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expanding to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	within the statutory minin opire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to	num of thirty (30) days will be considered tin n the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely.
atus	<u>_</u>		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> Septem	ber 2863		·
This action is FINAL .		•	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935. C		ecution as to the merits is closed i	n
sposition of Claims			
☑ Claim(s) 2- 9	is/are pending in the application).	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from considera	ition.
□ Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are allowed.	
≥ Claim(s) 1-4,8, a=29		is/are rejected.	
		is/are objected to.	
□ Claim(s)			tion
plication Papers		requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	$_$ is \square approved \square	disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner	·	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	I to by the Examiner		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	to by the Examiner		
 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	I to by the Examiner		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		(d).	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		(d).	
 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	er 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)– sived.		
 □ The drawing(s) filed on	er 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- sived. sived in Application No		
 □ The drawing(s) filed on	er 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)– sived. sived in Application No ave been received	•	
 □ The drawing(s) filed on	er 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- eived. eived in Application No ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a		
 □ The drawing(s) filed on	er 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- eived. eived in Application No ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	er 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- eived. eived in Application No ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	er 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- sived. sived in Application No ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a		
□ The drawing(s) filed on	er 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)— sived. sived in Application No ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	· · .	TO-152
 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. i rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece 	er 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- eived. eived in Application No ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	 .)) ervi w Summary, PTO-413	

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United states before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English Language.

Claims 2-4, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Parce et al.

Parce et al. teaches [col. 3, lines 62-65]: "FIG. 1 discloses a representative diagram of an exemplary microfluidic system 100 according to the present invention. As shown, the overall device 100 is fabricated in a planar substrate 102." Parce et al. teaches [col. 2, lines 59-64]: "The present invention also provides for a electropipettor which is compatible with a microfluidic system which moves subject materials with electroosmotic forces. The electropipettor has a capillary having a channel. An electrode is attached along the outside length of the capillary and terminates in a electrode ring at the end of the capillary." Parce et al. teaches [col. 8, line 30-49]: "As illustrated in FIG. 4A, an electropipettor 250 is formed by a hollow capillary tube 251. The capillary tube 251 has a channel 254 with the dimensions of the channels of the microfluidic

Art Unit: 1753

system 100 to which the channel 254 is fluidly connected. As shown in FIG. 4A, the channel 254 is a cylinder having a cross-sectional diameter in the range of 1-100 μ m. With a diameter of approximately 30 μ m being preferable. An electrode 252 runs down the outside wall of the capillary tube 251 and terminates in a ring electrode 253 around the end of the tube."

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 to 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02 September 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments are not well-taken for the following reasons. First, the applicant argues "In Figure 4A of Parce, the conductive layer 252 does not extend all the way from a point proximal to the "first end". While Figure 4A fails to illustrate where electrode 252 ends relative the substrate 102, this fact fails to positively demonstrate that the electrode 252 does not extend to a point proximal to substrate 102. This argument fails to address the statement in the "Summary of the Invention" of Parce, i.e. "An electrode is attached along the outside length of

Art Unit: 1753

the capillary". Without any teaching elsewhere in the reference, "the outside length of the capillary" should be interpreted as the entire length of the capillary. Applicant argues that "the conductive layer 252 does extend....all the way to the "second end". The applicant is misinterpreting the examiner's rejection. Specifically, the examiner considers the "conductive layer" of the claims to read on the combination of electrode 252 and ring electrode 253 of Parce.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

Art Unit: 1753

should be directed to John S. Starsiak Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen, can be reached on (703) 308-3322. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

NAM NGUYEN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

John S. Starsiak Jr.

10 November 2003